

0.8.1002 ✓ (12) (X)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 4858 OF 2005

PETITIONER:

✓ Smt. Pratima Aglawe, wife of Devendra Aglawe, aged about 30 years, occupation: Shiksha Karmi Gr. II, resident of behind Mining Office, opposite to Malhotra Janta Quarter, near Laxmi Atta chakki, Mahavir Nagar, Raipur (C.G).

Vs.

RESPONDENTS:

- ✓ 1. State of Chhattisgarh: through: Secretary, Panchayat Department, Raipur (C.G).
- ✓ 2. State of Chhattisgarh: through: Secretary, School Education Department, Raipur (C.G)
- ✓ 3. Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Panchayat, Raipur (C.G).
- ✓ 4. Head Master, Government Middle School, Mohatara (B. Bazar).
- ✓ 5. Principal, Government Higher Secondary School, Bhansoj, Block Arang, district Raipur (C.G).

4917/05
Presented by Smt. Amit Sharma
dated 21/9/05

RECEIVED-COPY
21/9/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(42)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.4858 OF 2005

Petitioner : Smt. Pratima Aglawe

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Dr. Shailesh Ahuja, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the
State/respondents No.1, 2, 4 and 5.
None appears for respondent No.3.

ORDER

(Passed on 27th Day of February, 2007)

1. The petitioner, while working as Teacher in Government Middle School, Mohatara (B.Bazar) was transferred to Government Higher Secondary School, Bhansoj, Block Arang, District Raipur, vide order dated 11-08-2004 (P-4). The petitioner joined the new place of posting at Government Higher Secondary School, Bhansoj, Block Arang, District Raipur, but, thereafter, vide impugned order dated 19-08-2004 (P-6), the transfer of the petitioner from Mohatara to Bhansoj was stayed until further orders.

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 19-10-2005, directed that the operation of the impugned order 19-08-2004 (P-6) so far as it relates to the petitioner was stayed and the petitioner was allowed to join and continue at the same place till the next date of hearing.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1, 2, 4 and 5 has no objection.

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge